



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/669,124	09/23/2003	Joel Rosenberger	WIMET121663	2882
26389	7590 02/03/2006		EXAMINER	
CHRISTENSEN, O'CONNOR, JOHNSON, KINDNESS, PLLC			REVAK, CHRISTOPHER A	
1420 FIFTH	AVENUE			
SUITE 2800			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SEATTLE, WA 98101-2347			2131	
			DATE MAILED: 02/03/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		10/669,124	ROSENBERGER, JOEL		
		Examiner	Art Unit		
		Christopher A. Revak	2131		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
A SH WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA assions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 Second This action is FINAL. 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allower closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro			
Disnositi	on of Claims				
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□ 8)□ Applicati 9)□ 10)⊠	Claim(s) 1-66 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-66 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or on Papers The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on 23 September 2003 is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	vn from consideration. r election requirement. r. nre: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ object drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔯 Inforr	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date <u>see attached</u> .	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa			

Art Unit: 2131

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

Acknowledgment is made of applicant's claim for domestic priority under 35
 U.S.C. 119(e).

Information Disclosure Statement

2. The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on April 5, 2004 is in compliance with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97. Accordingly, the examiner is considering the information disclosure statement.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 4. Claims 1-66 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Whalen et al, US 2004/0003285.

As per claims 1,18, and 34, it is disclosed by Whalen et al of detecting and managing intrusions to a computer network from an unknown wireless source. A security component resides on the network that passively monitors for network traffic

received from an unknown wireless device and creates a device profile of the unknown wireless device. It is determined whether the unknown wireless device is an authorized device and if the unknown wireless device is determined to be an authorized device, the network traffic from the unknown wireless device is permitted to pass to the computer network (page 1-2, paragraphs 12-14,16 and page 5, paragraph 46).

As per claims 2,19,43, and 55, Whalen et al teaches that the security component creates a device profile of the unknown wireless device by examining identifying characteristics of the network traffic of the unknown wireless device (pages 1-2, paragraph 12).

As per claims 3,20,35,44, and 56, Whalen et al discloses that the security component creates a device profile of the unknown wireless device by submitting a query to the unknown wireless device and examining the responses received as a result of the query for identifying characteristics of the unknown wireless device (page 2, paragraph 24).

As per claims 4,21,36,34, and 57, it is taught by Whalen et al that the security component creates the device profile of the unknown wireless device by submitting a query to the unknown wireless device based on a characteristic identified in a previously received response and examining the responses received as a result of the query for identifying characteristics of the unknown wireless device (page 2, paragraph 24).

As per claims 5,6,22,2346, and 58, Whalen et al discloses that the characteristic identified in the previously received response is the operating system of the unknown wireless device (page 2, paragraph 24).

Application/Control Number: 10/669,124

Art Unit: 2131

As per claims 7,24,47,48,59, and 60, Whalen et al teaches that the identifying characteristics of the unknown wireless device is the MAC address of the unknown wireless device (page 1, paragraph 17).

As per claims 8,25,49,50,61, and 62, it is disclosed by Whalen et al that the identifying characteristics of the unknown wireless device is the TCP/IP address range of the unknown wireless device (page 2, paragraph 24 and page 3, paragraph 35).

As per claims 9,26,37,51, and 63, it is taught by Whalen et al that the query is a standard network query (page 2, paragraph 24).

As per claims 10,27,52, and 64, Whalen et al discloses that the standard network query is a TCP/IP command (page 3, paragraph 35).

As per claims 11,28,53, and 65, it is taught by Whalen et al that the standard network query is a SNMP command (page 3, paragraph 35).

As per claims 12 and 29, it is disclosed by Whalen et al that the network traffic from the unknown wireless device operating in an IEEE 802.11 based wireless network (pages 2-3, paragraph 26).

As per claims 13,14,30, and 38, Whalen et al teaches that the device profile database stores known wireless device profiles and the security component determines whether the unknown wireless device profile is an authorized device by comparing the device profile of the unknown wireless device to device profiles in the device profile database (page 1, paragraph 11 and page 2, paragraph 24).

As per claims 15,31, and 39, it is taught by Whalen et al that if the device profile of the unknown wireless device is not found in the device profile database, the security

Art Unit: 2131

component associates a threat level with the unknown wireless device according to the unknown wireless device's device profile and network activity (page 2, paragraph 24 and page 4, paragraph 41).

As per claims 16,32, and 40, Whalen et al discloses that the security component de-authorizes the unknown wireless device if the threat level associated with the unknown wireless device exceeds a predetermined threshold (page 4, paragraph 41 and page 5, paragraph 47).

As per claims 17,33, and 41, it is disclosed by Whalen et al that the security component does not permit the network traffic from the unknown wireless device to pass to the computer network if the unknown wireless device is de-authorized (page 1, paragraph 17 and page 5, paragraph 47).

As per claims 42 and 66, the teachings of Whalen et al disclose of detecting and managing intrusions to a computer network from an unknown wireless source. A security component resides on the network that passively monitors for network traffic received from an unknown wireless device and creates a device profile of the unknown wireless device. It is determined whether the unknown wireless device is, or may be, a wireless access point according to the device profile. If the unknown wireless device is, or may be, a wireless access point, the device profile of the unknown wireless device is compared against device profiles of authorized wireless access points to determine whether the unknown wireless device is an authorized wireless access point. If the wireless device is not determined to be an authorized wireless access point, an alert is generated by a system administrator that the unknown wireless device is or may be an

Application/Control Number: 10/669,124

Art Unit: 2131

unauthorized wireless access point (page 1-2, paragraphs 12-14,16 and page 5,

paragraph 46).

Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Christopher A. Revak whose telephone number is 571-

272-3794. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 6:30am-3:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Ayaz Sheikh can be reached on 571-272-3795. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Christopher Revak Primary Examiner Page 6

AU 2131

1/31/06

CŖ

January 31, 2006